

06-16-

Attorney Docket No.: 030048027US Express Mail Label EV335520322US

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: MICHAEL S. FOSTER ET AL.

APPLICATION NO.:

10/039,404

FILED:

OCTOBER 26, 2001

FOR: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR INTERSWITCH LOAD BALANCING IN A COMMUNICATIONS

NETWORK

EXAMINER:

WELLINGTON CHIN

ART UNIT:

2664

CONF. NO:

2034

Petition to Revive Unintentionally Abandoned Application Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)

Mail Stop Petition **Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED JUN 17 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Sir:

- 1. The applicants hereby petition for revival of the above-identified application. On May 2, 2002, applicants filed a Request to Rescind Previous Nonpublication Request under 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(ii). However, it is our understanding that the United States Patent and Trademark Office has taken the position that the application became abandoned for failure to concurrently file a Notice of Foreign Filing in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii).
- 2. Notice Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)(1)

Enclosed herewith is a Notice of Rescission of Previous Nonpublication Request and Notice of Foreign Filing in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii).

3. Fee Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)(2)

Enclosed is a check covering the fee of \$1,300.00 under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m).

4. Statement Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)(3)

> The entire delay in filing the required Notice until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) was unintentional.

05/17/EC03 0UDMDRT1 00000104 10039404

01 70:1453

1300.00 OP

		This petition is being filed within 3 months of the date on which the Office clarified its position that a Request to Rescind Previous Nonpublication Request under 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(ii) [PTO/SB/36 (11-00)] is insufficient to comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) [Notice of Foreign Filing]. See the "Reminder that Rescission of a Nonpublication Request is Not Itself a Notice of Foreign Filing" signed by Deputy Commissioner Kunin on April 11, 2003. Under current USPTO practice, further information regarding the abandonment is not required.	
		This petition is being filed more than 3 months after the applicant was first notified of the abandonment, but within 1 year of the date of abandonment. Attached hereto is a statement by explaining how the abandonment occurred and how it was unintentional.	
		This petition is being filed more than 1 year after the apparent date of abandonment. Attached hereto is a statement by explaining when the applicant or applicant's representative first became aware of the abandonment and how the delay in discovering the abandoned status occurred despite the exercise of due care or diligence on the part of the applicant or the applicant's representative.	
6.	Terminal Disclaimer under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b)(4) and (c)		
	\boxtimes	Since this application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.	
		Since this application was filed before June 8, 1995, a terminal disclaimer is enclosed dedicating to the public a terminal part of the term of any patent granted hereon, and of any patent granted on a continuing application hereof, equivalent to the period of abandonment of this application.	
7.	Additional Fees		
		Please charge any underpayment in fees for timely consideration of this Petition to Deposit Account No. 50-0665.	
		Respectfully submitted, Perkins Coie LLP	
Date:	lune	13 . 2003	
Bate	ounc	James A. D. White Registration No. 43,985	
Correspondence Address:			
Customer No. 25096 Perkins Coie LLP			

Duration of Abandonment (1203 OG 86-87, Oct. 21, 1997)

5.

2

P.O. Box 1247

(206) 583-8888

Seattle, Washington 98111-1247